

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-37 are pending. Claims 1-36 were rejected. Claims 10, 14, 15, 17, and 36 have been canceled. Claim 37 has been added. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102***

Claims 14-16, 18-21, 23, 24, 26, 28-32, 34 and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(c) as being anticipated by US PGPub 2003/0195815 to Li et al. (“Li”). As reflected in Applicant’s previous amendment, Applicant does not agree that Li anticipates these claims. Without waiver of this of argument, Applicant has submitted a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 of each of the inventors which establishes a date of invention prior to the April 12, 2002, filing date of Li. This rejection should therefore now be withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1-9 and 11-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2002/0120561 to Chin et al. (“Chin”) in view of Li. Applicant does not agree that Chin and Li render these claims obvious. Without waiver of this of argument, Applicant has submitted a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 of each of the inventors which establishes a date of invention prior to the April 12, 2002, filing date of Li. This rejection should therefore now be withdrawn.

Claim 10 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chin in view of Li as applied to claim 1, and further in view of US PGPub 2003/0163447 to Sandman (“Sandman”). Applicant does not agree that Chin, Li and Sandman render this claim obvious. Without waiver of this of argument, Applicant has submitted a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 of each of the inventors which establishes a date of invention prior to the April 12, 2002, filing date of Li and the February 28, 2002 filing date of Sandman. This rejection should therefore now be withdrawn.

Claims 17, 27, 33, and 36 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li as applied respectively to claims 16, 26, 24 and 34, in view of Sandman. Applicant does not agree that Li and Sandman render this claim obvious. Without waiver of this

of argument, Applicant has submitted a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 of each of the inventors which establishes a date of invention prior to the April 12, 2002, filing date of Li and the February 28, 2002 filing date of Sandman. This rejection should therefore now be withdrawn.

Claims 22 and 25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li as applied respectively to claims 16 and 24, and further in view of Chin. Applicant does not agree that Li and Sandman render this claim obvious. Without waiver of this of argument, Applicant has submitted a Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 of each of the inventors which establishes a date of invention prior to the April 12, 2002, filing date of Li. This rejection should therefore now be withdrawn.

***New Claim***

Claim 37 is new. It is supported by paragraphs 152-63 in the original published specification.

***Amendment of Title***

Applicant has amended the title to better describe the claimed invention.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the above amendment places this application in condition for allowance, which Applicant respectfully solicits.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 501946 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account and reference attorney docket no. 64706-038.

Respectfully submitted,  
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Marc E. Brown, Registration No. 28,590

2049 Century Park East, 38th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Phone: (310) 277-4110 / Facsimile: (310) 277-4730

Date: October 30, 2007

**Please recognize our Customer No. 33401  
as our correspondence address.**